

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,982	03/17/2004		Joon-Sung Kim	8054-44 (AW8105US/JY)	3068
22150	7590	11/16/2004		EXAMI	NER
		CIATES, LLC	SOUW, BERNARD E		
	130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2881	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antine Comment	10/802,982	KIM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bernard E Souw	2881					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become ABA	oply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 March 2004.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is non-final.						
	_						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2004</u> is/	\boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ape priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/802,982

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), (10-2003-0016479), filed 09/18/2002 in Korea, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lischke et al. (USPAT 4,677,296).

Lischke et al. disclose a method for measuring dimensions of minute structures, as recited in the Abstract/lines1-2, the method comprising the steps of: irradiating primary electrons PE shown in Fig.1 onto the minute structures P, shown in more details in Fig.2), as recited in Col.4/II.12-14 and 54-60; providing image data of the minute structures shown in Fig.3 by detecting secondary electrons SE generated from the minute structures P, as recited in Col.4/II.60-62; determining at least two measuring regions over the minute structures using the image data, as recited in Col.4/II.62-68 and Col.5/II.1-11+25-32+39-63; and calculating dimensions of the minute structures

Application/Control Number: 10/802,982

Art Unit: 2881

measuring regions corresponding to the measuring regions, as recited in Col.5/II.22-25+49-63.

Page 3

- The above recited steps of Lischke's method clearly anticipate the present claims 1, 4, 6-9, 13 and 16-20, with more details as follows:
- Specifically regarding claims 6 and 15, the step of providing the image data by converting the secondary electrons SE into current signals is inherent in Lischke's, as implicated in Col.4/II.26-30.
- Specifically regarding claims 7, 16, 17 and 20, the step of determining the measuring regions by mapping a movable boundary along an X-axis and a Y-axis with the image on the monitor, and transmits the coordinate values of the measuring regions to the operation member (claim 16); the step of calculating the dimensions of the minute structures, correlating the image data to the measuring region, and transmitting the data to the monitor display and the storage member R (claim 17), are all inherent in Lischke's, as recited in Col.5/II.33-63.
- Specifically regarding claim 8, the step of correlating the image data to the measuring regions is inherent in Lischke's, as implicated in Col.8/II.4-8.
- Specifically regarding claims 9 and 13, Lischke's method makes use of an electron emission member, i.e., the electron source E shown in Fig.1; a display member (not shown in figure drawing) that displays an SEM image shown Fig.2, formed by detecting secondary electrons from the minute structures on P of Fig.1, as recited in Col.4/II.51-58 and col.8/II.4-8, the display member determining at least two measuring regions over the minute structures of Fig.2, as inherently implicated in Col.5/II.5-11; a

4

Application/Control Number: 10/802,982

Art Unit: 2881

storage member R as part of signal processing system, as recited in Col.5/II.7-11; and an operation member for calculating dimensions of the minute structures and transmits the data to the display member, as recited in Col.5/II.22-25+49-63.

Page 4

- Claims 18 and 19 recite the same limitations as claim 1, which has been previously rejected.
- ▶ Regarding claims 2 and 10, the limitation that the minute structures comprise a line, a hole, a trench, or a space, or a combination thereof, formed on semiconductor substrate P, is inherent in Lischke's, as shown in Fig.2 & 4 and recited in Col.4/II.49-68 and Col.5/II.1-63.
- ▶ Regarding claims 3 and 11, the step of synchronizing the primary electrons to scan the minute structure P is inherent in all scanning electron microscopes (SEMs), as recited in Col.4/II.58-61 and Col.5/II.41-47.
- ▶ Regarding claim 5, the step of storing the image data in a storage member is recited in Col.4/II.54-58, Col.5/II.7-11, whereas the storage member R is specifically recited in Col.5/II.7-9.
- Regarding claim 14, Lischke's image processing device R is for generating the image data on a monitor (not shown in Lischke's figure drawings), as recited in Col.4/II.51-58, Col.5/II.7-11 and Col.8/II.4-8, whereas Lischke's controller for determining the measuring regions is connected to the operation member, as implicated in Col.5/II.7-63, more specifically in Col.5/II.22-25+39-49.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lischke et al. in view of Vahala et al. (USPAT 4,929,041) or Wagner et al. (USPAT 5,659,172).

Lischke et al. show all the limitations of claim 12, as previously applied to claims 1 and 9 in combination, further including an electron gun E shown in Fig.1; a magnetic lens (part of the objective lens O) for focusing the primary electrons, as recited in Col.4/II.24-26; a scanning coil A for synchronizing the primary electrons, as recited ion Col.4/II.14-24; a (second) electron detector for detecting secondary electrons SE, as recited in Col.4/II.26-31.

However, Lischke et al. do not teach to use a (first) electron detector for detecting primary electrons that have been scattered from the minute structure. The use of such a detector is taught by Vahala et al. as recited in Col.2/II.1-6, or, in the alternative, by Wagner et al. in Col.4/II.35-38.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add to Lischke's method and/or apparatus a separate detector for detecting primary electrons that have been scattered from the minute structure, as taught by Vahala et al. or Wagner et al., in order to generate an image which is

Art Unit: 2881

sensitive to variations in the material composition of the surface, as suggested by Vahala et al. in Col.2/II.1-6.

Page 6

Relevant Prior Arts

4. These prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: (a) USPAT # 5,523,568, issued on 06/04/1996 to Ichikawa et al., (b) USPAT # 4,933,565, issued on 06/12/2990 to Yamaguchi et al., and (c) USPAT # 5,659,174, issued on 08/19/1997 to Kaneoka et al., disclose the same subject matter as the present disclosure; they are therefore clearly anticipating the same claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). Similarly, prior art references (d) USPAT # 6,753,518, issued to Watanabe et al. on 06/22/2004, and (e) US-PGPUB # 2004/0217287, also issued to Watanabe et al. on 06/22/2004, disclose the same subject matter. As such, they, too, are clearly anticipating the same claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and 102(e).

Communications

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard E Souw whose telephone number is 571 272 2482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9:00 am to 5:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R Lee can be reached on 571 272 2477. The central fax phone Application/Control Number: 10/802,982 Page 7

Art Unit: 2881

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communications as well as for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

bes November 12, 2004

SUPERVISOR PATENT EXAMINER
TEXNOLOGY CENTER 2800